



IRAQI  
Academic Scientific Journals



العراقية  
المجلات الأكاديمية العلمية

TJAS

Tikrit Journal for  
Agricultural  
Sciences

ISSN:1813-1646 (Print); 2664-0597 (Online)

*Tikrit Journal for Agricultural Sciences*

Journal Homepage: <http://www.tjas.org>

E-mail: [tjas@tu.edu.iq](mailto:tjas@tu.edu.iq)

## Effect of dietary supplementation of postbiotics produced by lactic acid bacteria on laying hens performance, egg quality, and serum biochemical parameters

Taha H. Khayoon\* ,<sup>1</sup> Fawziah A. Abdullah ,<sup>2</sup> and Rabia J. Abbas <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Animal Production Department, College of Agriculture, University of Basrah, Iraq.

<sup>2</sup> Department of Microbiology, College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Basrah, Iraq

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: [pgs.taha.hasheem@uobasrah.edu.iq](mailto:pgs.taha.hasheem@uobasrah.edu.iq)

### ABSTRACT

#### KEY WORDS:

Antibiotics; Antioxidant; Egg production; *Lactobacillus*; Layers

Received: 08/06/2024  
Revision : 03/09/2024  
Proofreading: 22/11/2020  
Accepted: 18/09/2024  
Available online: 31/12/2024

© 2024. This is an open access article under the CC by licenses <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>



The study investigated the impact of postbiotics generated from *Lactobacillus acidophilus* (Lap) and *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum* (Lpp) on the productive performance, egg quality, and serum biochemical parameters of laying hens. At 40 weeks of age, 126 Lohmann hens were randomly assigned to seven treatments with three replications of six birds each. The basal diet (T1) was administered without supplements (negative control) or supplemented with tetracycline (T2) at 0.02% (positive control). The other five groups: T3, T4 (basal diet supplemented with postbiotics (Lap) 0.35%, and (Lap) 0.70% produced from *Lactobacillus acidophilus* bacteria respectively); T5, T6 (basal diet supplemented with postbiotics (Lpp) 0.35%, and (Lpp) 0.70% produced from *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum* bacteria respectively); T7 (basal diet supplemented with postbiotics (0.35% Lap + 0.35% Lpp). Postbiotics and tetracycline (TET) did not affect ( $P \geq 0.05$ ) in body weight, feed intake, feed conversion ratio (FCR), egg weight, egg mass, egg quality or serum total protein, albumin and globulin. Egg production and egg number were greater ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) in the postbiotics (Lap 0.70%, Lpp 0.70%, and mixture (0.35% Lap + 0.35% Lpp), and TET supplemental group as compared to the control (T1). While cholesterol and triglycerides (except 0.35% Lap, 0.35% Lpp), were decreased significantly ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) than T1. Superoxide dismutase and catalase activity (except 0.35% Lap, 0.35% Lpp) improved as compared to T1. The results indicate that the supplementation of postbiotics has a positive effect on laying hens performance and some biochemical parameters.

## تأثير اضافة مستويات مختلفة من مستحضر البوستبيوتكس المنتج من بكتيريا حامض اللاكتيك في أداء الدجاج البياض وجودة البيض والمؤشرات الكيموحيوية في مصل الدم

طه هاشم خيون<sup>1</sup>، فوزية علي عبد الله<sup>2</sup>، ربيعة جدوع عباس<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> قسم الانتاج الحيواني، كلية الزراعة، جامعة البصرة، البصرة، العراق

<sup>2</sup> قسم الأحياء المجهرية، كلية الطب البيطري، جامعة البصرة، البصرة، العراق

### الخلاصة

هدفت الدراسة الحالية لمعرفة تأثير إضافة مستحضر البوستبيوتكس المنتج من بكتيريا *Lactobacillus acidophilus* (Lap) و *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum* (Lpp) الى علائق الدجاج البياض في الأداء الإنتاجي وجودة البيض والمعايير الكيموحيوية للدم. عند عمر 40 أسبوعاً، تم توزيع 126 دجاجة لوهمان عشوائياً على سبع معاملات بثلاثة مكررات ولكل منها ستة طيور. تم تغذية الطيور في (T1) على عليقة اساسية بدون اضافات (سيطرة سالبة)، أو مضافاً اليها المضاد الحيوي التتراسيكلين (T2) بنسبة 0.02 % (سيطرة موجبة)، المجموعات الخمس الأخرى: T3، T4 (عليقة اساسية مضافا اليها 0.35 % Lap، و 0.70 % Lap على التوالي)؛ T5، T6 (عليقة اساسية مضافا اليها 0.35 % Lpp، و 0.70 % Lpp على التوالي)؛ T7 (عليقة اساسية مضافا اليها 0.35 % Lap + 0.35 % Lpp). بينت النتائج عدم وجود فروقات معنوية ( $P \geq 0.05$ ) في وزن الجسم، استهلاك العلف، معامل التحويل الغذائي، وزن وكتلة البيض. كما لم يتأثر تركيز البروتين الكلي، الألبومين والكلوبيولين في مصل الدم. فيما لوحظ وجود ارتفاع معنوي ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) في عدد ونسبة البيض المنتج، وفي تركيز انزيمات الاكسدة للمعاملات (T7, T6, T4, T2) مقارنة بمعاملة السيطرة (T1)، كما لوحظ وجود انخفاض معنوي ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) في تركيز الكولسترول في جميع معاملات اضافة مستحضر البوستبيوتكس، وانخفاض في تركيز الدهون الثلاثية لمصل الدم في المعاملات (T7, T6, T4) مقارنة بمعاملة السيطرة (T1)، حيث أشارت النتائج إلى أن إضافة مستحضر البوستبيوتكس له تأثير إيجابي على أداء الدجاج البياض وبعض المؤشرات الكيموحيوية لمصل الدم.

**الكلمات المفتاحية:** المضادات الحيوية، مضادات الأكسدة، إنتاج البيض، بكتيريا حامض اللاكتيك، الدجاج البياض.

### INTRODUCTION

Many additives are used in poultry water and feed to improve performance (Abbas and Khauoon, 2021; Saed et al., 2023; Ali and Abdulrazaq, 2023) or promoting health (Al-Zuhairi et al., 2023; Majeed and Mustafa, 2023; Mustafa and Othman, 2024), and it is considered an integral nutritional component of poultry nutrition. Overuse of antibiotics is also widespread to treat or prevent diseases and promote poultry health, and tetracycline was the most widely used (83.63%) of the antibiotics family in poultry (Soromou et al., 2020), while additives that improve the digestive tract functions of bird are the most important feed additives (Stadnicka et al., 2023). Probiotic supplements have been introduced into poultry feed and results have shown their effectiveness in many functions, but one of the major limitations of using probiotics in poultry feed is the inability to survive and remain stable during feed manufacturing and drying due to the need for high temperatures for feed pelleting, which can kill or weaken probiotics bacteria (Wang et al., 2021). However, studies have shown that non-

viable microorganisms and their cellular components and metabolic products have a positive effect on health (Vinderola *et al.*, 2022).

Postbiotics is produced from microorganisms and can confer health benefits to the host, without requiring compliance with food safety regulations applicable to probiotics (Scott *et al.*, 2022), and postbiotics also have advantages over probiotics as they have a longer storage period of up to five years, higher safety dose limits, clear chemical composition, and similar health benefits to probiotics without the need to manage live microorganisms that are not always safe (Rafique *et al.*, 2023). Additionally, postbiotics do not contain live microorganisms, but they show beneficial health effects through similar mechanisms to probiotics while reducing the risks associated with their intake, as postbiotics lack serious side effects while maintaining similar efficacy to probiotics (Żółkiewicz *et al.*, 2020).

Dietary additives of postbiotics to broilers feed leads to positive changes in the environment of the digestive tract by improving its tissue structure, increase in villus height and depth (Danladi *et al.*, 2022), increase the digestibility and nutrients passage rate, balance of the intestinal microbiota and prevent the growth of pathogens (Khayoon *et al.*, 2024), which leads to improved productive performance. However, few studies have investigated the effect of supplementation of different postbiotics types on laying hens as potential alternatives to antibiotics.

Therefore, this study aimed to evaluate the impact of postbiotics produced from two kinds of lactic acid bacteria on laying hens performance, egg quality, and serum biochemical parameters.

## **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

### **Ethical Approve**

The study was approved by the research ethics committee of University Basrah, Iraq, with approval number 13-37-2024.

### **Bacteria Source and Preparation of Postbiotics (Lap + Lpp)**

The lactic acid bacteria (*L. acidophilus* and *L. plantarum*) were obtained from a Chinese Company (Herbasea Biotechnology). The postbiotics were prepared as described in Khayoon *et al.*, (2024).

### **Experimental Design**

This experiment was conducted in the Poultry Field of the College of Agriculture, University of Basrah, Iraq, for a duration of 12 weeks, from October 14, 2023 to January 5, 2024. One hundred and twenty-six Lohman laying hens at 40 weeks-old were randomly assigned to seven treatment groups, each group had three replicates, containing six birds each. Feed was provided twice a day, at 6 am and 2 pm at 130 g/bird/day. All birds were acclimated to a basal diet for one week. The temperature (27 °C), and 16 h lighting /8 h dark. Water was provided *ad libitum* during the study period. The experiment involved different dietary

treatments: basal diet (T1) administered without supplements (negative control) or supplemented with tetracycline (T2) at 0.02% (positive control). The other five groups: T3, T4 (basal diet supplemented with postbiotics (Lap) 0.35%, and (Lap) 0.70% produced from *Lactobacillus acidophilus* bacteria respectively); T5, T6 (basal diet supplemented with postbiotics (Lpp) 0.35%, and (Lpp) 0.70% produced from *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum* bacteria respectively); T7, (basal diet supplemented with postbiotics (0.35% Lap + 0.35% Lpp)). The composition of the basal diet provided to the hens is listed in Table 1.

Table 1: Percentage and calculated composition of the experimental diets.

<b>Ingredient (%)</b>	<b>(%)</b>
<b>Yellow corn</b>	62.00
<b>Wheat</b>	5.00
<b>Soybean meal (48%)</b>	22.00
<b>Vegetable oil</b>	1.30
<b>Limestone</b>	8.00
<b>Di-calcium phosphate</b>	1.20
<b>Vitamin and mineral premix*</b>	0.25
<b>Salt (NaCl)</b>	0.25
<b>Total</b>	100
<b>Calculated nutrients**</b>	
<b>Metabolizable energy (kcal/kg)</b>	2884
<b>Crude protein</b>	16.53
<b>Crude fat</b>	2.65
<b>Crude fiber</b>	2.01
<b>Calcium</b>	3.40
<b>Available phosphorus</b>	0.33
<b>Lysine</b>	0.83
<b>Methionine + Cysteine</b>	0.30

\* Layer Vitamin-mineral premix each 1 Kg consists of: Vit. A, 8000 IU; Vit. D3, 1300 ICU, Vit. E 5 mg; Vit. K, 2 mg; Vit B1, 0.7 mg; Vit. B2, 3 mg; Vit. B6, 1.5 mg; Vit. B12, 7 mg; Biotin, 0.1 mg; Pantothenic acid, 6 g; Niacin, 20 g; Folic acid, 1 mg, Manganese, 60 mg; Zinc, 50 mg, Copper, 6 mg; Iodine, 1 mg, Selenium, 0.5 mg; Cobalt, 1 mg.

\*\*The calculation was based on the chemical composition of the feedstuff found in NRC, (1994).

### Serum Biochemical Parameters

Blood samples were collected from the wing vein of one bird per replicate when it was 52 weeks old. The samples were collected in tubes without EDTA for serum separation. The tubes were placed in a centrifuge at 3000 rpm for 15 minutes at 25°C. The concentration of total protein (TP), albumin, cholesterol (CHOL), and triglycerides (TAG) was estimated using available commercial kits (Biolabo SAS, France), and serum globulin was calculated as the difference between TP and albumin. The activity of superoxide dismutase (SOD) and catalase (CAT) enzymes in serum was assayed using a standard ready-made kit produced by ABO Swiss Company.

### Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed as a completely randomized design by using SPSS program software (2017), and were compared by Duncan's multiple range test ( $P \leq 0.05$ ).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The productive performance responses to postbiotics or antibiotic (TET) supplementation in the entire trial are summarized in Table 2. No significant differences ( $P \geq 0.05$ ) were found between the experimental treatments in initial and final body weight, body weight change, feed intake, FCR, egg mass, and egg weight among the different treatments. Previous studies on laying hens have shown that the administration of postbiotics derived from *L. plantarum* had no significant effect on their body weight, overall feed intake, and FCR (Loh et al., 2014; Farran et al., 2024). The results also indicated that all dietary groups, except T3 and T5, showed significant improvements ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) in egg production (%) and egg number when postbiotics (Lap and Lpp) or TET were included in the diet, compared to the control group (T1). According to the results, a higher level of postbiotics (Lap 0.70% or Lpp 0.70%, and the mixture 0.35% Lap and 0.35% Lpp) had a numerically higher value in egg production percentage and egg number compared to the TET group. However, this difference was not statistically significant ( $P \geq 0.05$ ). The increased egg production can be attributed to improved health and immunity of laying hens and increased nutrient utilization, as postbiotics can strengthen immune responses and promote gut health (Kaouk, 2024).

Table 2: Effect of experimental diets on production performance of laying hens for 40-52 weeks.

Parameters	Dietary treatments							Significant level
	T <sub>1</sub>	T <sub>2</sub>	T <sub>3</sub>	T <sub>4</sub>	T <sub>5</sub>	T <sub>6</sub>	T <sub>7</sub>	
<b>Initial body weight (g)</b>	1874.45 ± 6.39	1869.73 ± 6.91	1862.22 ± 8.38	1859.61 ± 7.33	1876.12 ± 6.35	1878.88 ± 7.30	1876.61 ± 6.56	NS
<b>Final body weight (g)</b>	1905.87 ± 5.40	1902.98 ± 7.93	1893.57 ± 7.16	1893.69 ± 6.38	1908.69 ± 4.89	1914.50 ± 6.27	1910.93 ± 7.75	NS
<b>Body weight change (g)</b>	31.42 ± 1.15	33.25 ± 1.02	31.35 ± 1.21	34.08 ± 1.02	32.57 ± 1.60	35.62 ± 1.89	34.32 ± 1.66	NS
<b>Feed intake (g/d)</b>	127.50 ± 0.57	128.59 ± 0.26	127.55 ± 0.39	128.49 ± 0.22	127.47 ± 0.51	128.55 ± 0.10	128.57 ± 0.31	NS
<b>FCR (g feed/g egg)</b>	2.24 ± 0.029	2.19 ± 0.030	2.23 ± 0.035	2.19 ± 0.026	2.22 ± 0.022	2.17 ± 0.028	2.19 ± 0.041	NS
<b>Hen day egg production (%)</b>	86.24 <sup>c</sup> ± 1.01	90.21 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.92	86.51 <sup>c</sup> ± 1.03	89.88 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.80	87.10 <sup>bc</sup> ± 1.15	90.61 <sup>a</sup> ± 1.23	90.34 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.92	$P \leq 0.05$
<b>Egg weight (g)</b>	65.90 ± 0.06	65.23 ± 0.17	66.05 ± 0.36	65.38 ± 0.24	65.97 ± 0.34	65.26 ± 0.16	65.11 ± 0.67	NS
<b>Egg number (egg/hen)</b>	72.43 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.84	75.78 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.78	72.67 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.87	75.49 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.67	73.16 <sup>bc</sup> ± 0.96	76.11 <sup>a</sup> ± 1.03	75.89 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.78	$P \leq 0.05$
<b>Egg mass (g)</b>	56.83 ± 0.61	58.84 ± 0.69	57.14 ± 0.81	58.77 ± 0.64	57.46 ± 0.48	59.13 ± 0.82	58.83 ± 0.91	NS

<sup>a-c</sup> means within a row for each parameter with different superscripts are significantly different ( $P \leq 0.05$ ), NS: non-significant.

Postbiotics also stimulate the growth of important probiotic bacteria such as *Lactobacillus* (Khayoon et al., 2024), there may be an effect of probiotics on ovary size and egg production, Zhou et al., (2020) observed that adding probiotics to the diet of laying hens increased FSH and estradiol levels, which resulted in increased ovarian weight and enhanced egg production. While Xu et al., (2023) noted in their study that adding probiotics to laying hens diets led to ovarian development and increased egg production as a result of increased

levels of FSH and estradiol in the blood serum. Hameed *et al.*, (2020) also suggested that probiotics may have an impact on the function of the pituitary glands, improving hormone function, specifically FSH and LH, as FSH plays a role in increasing follicle size and LH increasing egg production and ovulation rate (Prastiya *et al.*, 2022). Additionally, the improvement of bird health in the treatment of tetracycline supplement (T2) related to tetracycline activity as antioxidant and anti-inflammatory, it has been proven to be highly effective as an antimicrobial by the bacteriostatic action of tetracycline based on their inhibition of protein biosynthesis of target pathogens (Ramachanderan and Schaefer, 2021). Studies conducted by Loh *et al.*, (2014) and Farran *et al.*, (2024) also showed an increase in egg production percentage in laying hens when fed postbiotics produced from *L. plantarum*.

### Egg Quality Criteria

The parameters regarding egg quality measured at 52 weeks have been presented in Table 3. The results indicate that there were no significant variations observed among the experimental groups in terms of eggshell thickness, the percentage of shell, yolk and albumen, yolk height, yolk diameter, yolk index, albumen height, albumen diameter, albumen index, and Haugh unit.

Table 3: Effect of experimental diets on egg quality criteria measured at 52 weeks of age.

Parameters	Dietary treatments							Significant level
	T <sub>1</sub>	T <sub>2</sub>	T <sub>3</sub>	T <sub>4</sub>	T <sub>5</sub>	T <sub>6</sub>	T <sub>7</sub>	
<b>Eggshell thickness (mm)</b>	0.617 ±0.061	0.662 ±0.082	0.642 ±0.079	0.701 ±0.071	0.677 ±0.054	0.884 ±0.169	0.799 ±0.118	NS
<b>Shell (%)</b>	9.88 ±0.28	9.79 ±0.35	9.92 ±0.63	10.24 ±0.38	9.42 ±0.46	9.85 ±0.59	10.21 ±0.47	NS
<b>Yolk (%)</b>	22.43 ±1.22	23.96 ±1.73	22.45 ±1.05	22.84 ±1.42	22.77 ±0.90	23.78 ±1.03	23.73 ±0.91	NS
<b>Albumen (%)</b>	67.69 ±1.12	66.25 ±1.44	67.63 ±0.92	66.92 ±1.15	67.81 ±0.71	66.37 ±1.52	66.06 ±1.28	NS
<b>Yolk Height (mm)</b>	11.93 ±0.27	12.68 ±1.53	12.38 ±1.44	12.36 ±1.64	13.74 ±1.10	12.45 ±1.14	13.61 ±0.41	NS
<b>Yolk diameter (mm)</b>	28.98 ±0.38	29.71 ±0.64	29.65 ±0.92	29.64 ±0.82	30.62 ±0.63	30.44 ±0.68	31.20 ±0.97	NS
<b>Yolk index</b>	0.410 ±0.012	0.423 ±0.045	0.417 ±0.038	0.413 ±0.044	0.453 ±0.041	0.410 ±0.036	0.437 ±0.027	NS
<b>Albumen Height (mm)</b>	5.18 ±0.06	5.37 ±0.23	5.51 ±0.19	5.49 ±0.14	5.60 ±0.10	5.29 ±0.18	5.40 ±0.24	NS
<b>Albumen diameter (mm)</b>	44.08 ±2.34	45.18 ±3.24	48.04 ±2.43	48.50 ±2.21	49.30 ±1.25	44.72 ±3.55	45.39 ±2.84	NS
<b>Albumen index</b>	0.118 ±0.006	0.119 ±0.006	0.115 ±0.003	0.113 ±0.003	0.114 ±0.003	0.119 ±0.003	0.119 ±0.006	NS
<b>Haugh unit score</b>	84.67 ±0.23	85.69 ±1.14	86.5 ±1.24	86.46 ±0.89	86.76 ±0.51	84.4 ±1.5	85.15 ±1.2	NS

<sup>a-c</sup> means within a row for each parameter with different superscripts are significantly different ( $P \leq 0.05$ ), NS: non-significant.

These results coincide with the results of Loh *et al.*, (2014) who reported that adding a postbiotics prepared from *L. plantarum* to the diet of laying hens (Lohmann) at 23 weeks of age, did not affect the Haugh unit. As well as with Farran *et al.*, (2024) reported that including postbiotics *L. plantarum* in the diet of laying hens did not affect yolk weight percentage and shell thickness, which is similar to our research results. However, in contrast to our results, they found a significant reduction in the percentage of egg white weight.

The biochemical constituents of laying hens are summarized in Table 4. The results showed no significant ( $P \geq 0.05$ ) effect on serum TP, albumin, and globulin, among all experimental treatments, while there was a significant ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) effect on CHOL, TAG, SOD, and CAT activity. Postbiotics supplementation resulted in significantly lower CHOL levels ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) compared to the control group (T1). However, there was no significant difference ( $P \geq 0.05$ ) in CHOL between the negative (T1) and positive control (T2) or between control (T2), T3 (0.35% Lap), and T5 (0.35% Lpp).

It was observed that the use of postbiotics supplements resulted in a significant decrease ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) in triglyceride levels, as compared to the control group (T1), with the exception of groups T3 (0.35% Lap) and T5 (0.35% Lpp). Furthermore, no significant differences were observed in the concentration of TAG between the negative and positive control groups.

SOD and CAT activity were significantly improved ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) in all dietary groups, except T3 and T5, compared to the negative control (T1). According to previous studies, the presence of exopolysaccharides (EPS) in postbiotics preparations can lead to a decreased serum CHOL levels. EPS produced by lactic acid bacteria has been found to have cholesterol lowering effects by inhibiting CHOL absorption (Gezginç *et al.*, 2022; Dilna *et al.*, 2015).

Table 4: Effect of experimental diets on serum biochemical parameters of laying hens.

Parameters	Dietary treatments							Significant level
	T <sub>1</sub>	T <sub>2</sub>	T <sub>3</sub>	T <sub>4</sub>	T <sub>5</sub>	T <sub>6</sub>	T <sub>7</sub>	
<b>TP</b>	4.87	5.01	4.93	5.02	4.94	5.04	5.07	NS
<b>(g/100 ml)</b>	± 0.09	± 0.06	± 0.07	± 0.03	± 0.08	± 0.09	± 0.05	
<b>Albumin</b>	2.32	2.29	2.27	2.32	2.26	2.30	2.34	NS
<b>(g/100 ml)</b>	± 0.07	± 0.02	± 0.06	± 0.03	± 0.02	± 0.05	± 0.04	
<b>Globulin</b>	2.55	2.72	2.66	2.70	2.68	2.74	2.73	NS
<b>(g/100 ml)</b>	± 0.06	± 0.04	± 0.08	± 0.03	± 0.05	± 0.06	± 0.09	
<b>CHOL</b>	139.69 <sup>a</sup>	134.62 <sup>ab</sup>	128.96 <sup>bc</sup>	123.68 <sup>c</sup>	126.77 <sup>bc</sup>	120.42 <sup>c</sup>	122.47 <sup>c</sup>	$P \leq 0.05$
<b>(mg/100ml)</b>	± 3.34	± 2.76	± 2.58	± 2.37	± 3.49	± 4.14	± 3.61	
<b>TAG</b>	125.70 <sup>a</sup>	123.64 <sup>ab</sup>	122.47 <sup>ab</sup>	111.61 <sup>c</sup>	124.15 <sup>ab</sup>	113.53 <sup>bc</sup>	108.67 <sup>c</sup>	$P \leq 0.05$
<b>(mg/100 ml)</b>	± 3.86	± 2.96	± 2.45	± 3.47	± 4.13	± 3.42	± 2.89	
<b>SOD</b>	2.53 <sup>b</sup>	2.98 <sup>a</sup>	2.69 <sup>ab</sup>	3.03 <sup>a</sup>	2.86 <sup>ab</sup>	3.12 <sup>a</sup>	3.09 <sup>a</sup>	$P \leq 0.05$
<b>(µmol/l)</b>	± 0.12	± 0.17	± 0.15	± 0.13	± 0.11	± 0.09	± 0.12	
<b>CAT</b>	3.72 <sup>b</sup>	4.15 <sup>a</sup>	3.82 <sup>ab</sup>	4.19 <sup>a</sup>	3.94 <sup>ab</sup>	4.24 <sup>a</sup>	4.21 <sup>a</sup>	$P \leq 0.05$
<b>(µmol/l)</b>	± 0.10	± 0.14	± 0.08	± 0.14	± 0.15	± 0.12	± 0.13	

<sup>a-c</sup> means within a row for each parameter with different superscripts are significantly different ( $P \leq 0.05$ ), NS: non-significant.

The decrease in serum cholesterol (CHOL) level may be due to an increase in the population of lactic acid bacteria, where these bacteria induce the production of bile salt hydrolase, which converts long-chain fatty acids into medium and short-chain fatty acids. This process can reduce blood serum cholesterol levels by inhibiting the reabsorption and excretion of bile acids. As a result, serum cholesterol is converted by the liver into bile acids, leading to lower blood serum cholesterol levels (Agustono *et al.*, 2023). It has been observed that *Lactobacillus* bacteria can boost the production of high density lipoprotein CHOL while reducing the levels of low density lipoprotein CHOL and TAG, which may be attributed to the presence of short-chain fatty acids that possess statin-like effects by inhibiting the synthesis of CHOL precursors (Aggarwal *et al.*, 2022). As a result, the reduction in TAG could be associated with presence of short-chain fatty acids in postbiotics or produced by *Lactobacillus* bacteria, which play a crucial role in energy and fat metabolism. The significant increase in the level of the antioxidant enzyme (SOD, CAT) is attributed to the ability of postbiotics to inhibit the oxidation process, by the formation of pyrrole compounds and cyclic compounds (Chang *et al.*, 2021). Additionally, the tetracycline supplement (T2) increased antioxidant activity due to the presence of a dimethyl amino group at the C4 carbon, which leads to increase in antioxidant activity (Murakami *et al.*, 2020). A previous study on laying hens found that all postbiotics treatments significantly decreased serum CHOL levels compared to the negative control (Choe *et al.*, 2012; Loh *et al.*, 2014). Alaqil *et al.*, (2020) noted that supplementing *L. acidophilus* bacteria to the diet of laying hens led to a significant decrease in CHOL and triglyceride concentrations in their blood serum, in comparison to the control group.

## CONCLUSION

It could be concluded that the inclusion of postbiotics, which are produced from either *L. acidophilus* (0.70%) or *L. plantarum* (0.70%), or a combination of both (0.35% Lap + 0.35% Lpp), can result in an improvement in egg production percentage, egg number, SOD and CAT activity, and also lead to a reduction in CHOL and TAG concentration. Hence, postbiotics can serve as a substitute for antibiotics, without any impact on the health of the birds or their productive performance.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We would like to express our gratitude to the staff members of the Department of Animal Production at the Faculty of Agriculture, and the Department of Microbiology at the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Basrah, Iraq. They kindly granted us access to their technical and laboratory facilities, and we are thankful for their assistance.

**Conflict of interest:** All authors declare there are no conflicts of interest.

## REFERENCES

Abbas, R. J., and Khauoon, T. H. (2021). Effect of adding different levels of grapes (*Vitis vinifera* L.) seeds and leaf powder or their extracts on some bone characteristics and



- total ash content in broiler chickens. *Asian Journal of Dairy and Food Research*, 40(3), 341-344. <http://dx.doi.org/10.18805/ajdfr.DR-220>
- Aggarwal, S., Sabharwal, V., Suri, M., Kaushik, P., Aayushi, A., and Joshi, A. (2022). Postbiotics: From emerging concept to application. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*, 6,887642. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2022.887642>
- Agustono, B., Apriliawati, R., Warsito, S. H., Yunita, M. N., Lokapirnasari, W. P., Hidanah, S., Sabdoningrum, E, K., Al-Arif, M, A., Gandul, M, L., Yuliani, A., Chhetri, S and Windria, S. (2023). The effect supplementation of microbiota inoculant in the early laying hens feed on high density lipoprotein (HDL) and low-density lipoprotein (LDL) in egg yolk. *Pharmacognosy Journal*, 15(3), 270-273. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5530/pj.2023.15.73>
- Alaqil, A. A., Abbas, A. O., El-Beltagi, H. S., El-Atty, H. K. A., Mehaisen, G. M., and Moustafa, E. S. (2020). Dietary supplementation of probiotic lactobacillus acidophilus modulates cholesterol levels, immune response, and productive performance of laying hens. *Animals*, 10(9), 1588. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani10091588>
- Ali, M. J., and Abdulrazaq, H. S. (2023). Effects on production performance and ileal microflora of broiler chicks by adding various levels of coriander seed (*Coriandrum sativum* L.) powder to ration. *Tikrit Journal for Agricultural Sciences*, 23(1), 75-84. <https://doi.org/10.25130/tjas.23.1.10>
- Al-Zuhairi, S. K., Al-Salhi, K. C., and AlAbdullah, Z. T. (2023). Effect of adding different levels of silver-curcumin nanoparticles on some productive traits, blood parameters and antioxidant status of broiler chickens. *Jornal of Al-Muthanna for Agricultural Sciences*, 10(2), 1-8. <http://dx.doi.org/10.52113/mjas04/10.2/32>
- Chang, H. M., Foo, H. L., Loh, T. C., Lim, E. T. C., and Abdul Mutalib, N. E. (2021). Comparative studies of inhibitory and antioxidant activities, and organic acids compositions of postbiotics produced by probiotic *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum* strains isolated from Malaysian foods. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*, 7, 602280, 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2020.602280>
- Choe, D. W., Loh, T. C., Foo, H. L., Hair-Bejo, M., and Awis, Q. S. (2012). Egg production, faecal pH and microbial population, small intestine morphology, and plasma and yolk cholesterol in laying hens given liquid metabolites produced by *Lactobacillus plantarum* strains. *British Poultry Science*, 53(1), 106-115. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00071668.2012.659653>
- Danladi, Y., Loh, T. C., Foo, H. L., Akit, H., Md Tamrin, N. A., and Naeem Azizi, M. (2022). Effects of postbiotics and paraprobiotics as replacements for antibiotics on growth performance, carcass characteristics, small intestine histomorphology, immune status and hepatic growth gene expression in broiler chickens. *Animals*, 12(7), 917. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani12070917>

- Dilna, S. V., Surya, H., Aswathy, R. G., Varsha, K. K., Sakthikumar, D. N., Pandey, A., and Nampoothiri, K. M. (2015). Characterization of an exopolysaccharide with potential health-benefit properties from a probiotic *Lactobacillus plantarum* RJF4. *LWT-Food Science and Technology*, 64(2), 1179-1186. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2015.07.040>
- Farran, M., El Masry, B., Kaouk, Z., and Shaib, H. (2024). Impact of dietary *Lactobacillus plantarum* postbiotics on the performance of layer hens under heat stress conditions. *Open Journal of Veterinary Medicine*, 14(3), 39-55. <https://doi.org/10.4236/ojvm.2024.143004>
- Gezginç, Y., Karabekmez-erdem, T., Tatar, H. D., Ayman, S., Ganiyusufoğlu, E., and Dayisoğlu, K. S. (2022). Health promoting benefits of postbiotics produced by lactic acid bacteria: Exopolysaccharide. *Biotech Studies*, 31(2), 61-70. <https://doi.org/10.38042/biotechstudies.1159166>
- Hameed, H. M., Tawfeek, F. K., and Adul-Rhaman, S. Y. (2020). Effect of  $\beta$ -mannanase, lysolecithin and probiotic on some reproductive performance and hormone profile in female quail. *Iraqi Journal of Veterinary Sciences*, 34(1), 87-93. <https://doi.org/10.33899/ijvs.2019.125587.1097>
- Kaouk, Z. (2024). Impact of dietary *Lactobacillus plantarum* postbiotics on gut health and immunity of layers under heat stress conditions (Doctoral dissertation, American University of Beirut). P: 66.
- Khayoon, T. H., Abbas, R. J., and Abdullah., F. A. (2024). Effects of feeding various levels of postbiotics produced by lactic acid bacteria on growth performance, gastrointestinal microbiota count, and digestibility of some nutrients in broiler chickens. *Mesopotamia Journal of Agriculture*, 52(2), 68-81. <https://doi.org/10.33899/mja.-2024.145531.1329>
- Loh, T. C., Choe, D. W., Foo, H. L., Sazili, A. Q., and Bejo, M. H. (2014). Effects of feeding different postbiotic metabolite combinations produced by *Lactobacillus plantarum* strains on egg quality and production performance, faecal parameters and plasma cholesterol in laying hens. *BMC Veterinary Research*, 3(10), 1-9. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1746-6148-10-149>
- Majeed, Z. M., and Mustafa, N. A. (2023). Impact laying times of broiler breeder supplement with aromatic oils (Miarom) in drinking water on hatchability, maternal immunity and serum antioxidant and antioxidant statues hatched chicks. *Tikrit Journal for Agricultural Sciences*, 23(1), 44-50. <https://doi.org/10.25130/tjas.23.1.6>
- Murakami, Y., Kawata, A., Suzuki, S., and Fujisawa, S. (2020). Radical-scavenging and pro-/anti-inflammatory activity of tetracycline and related phen-olic compounds with or without visible light irradiation. *In Vivo*, 34(1), 81-94. <https://doi.org/10.21873/invivo.11748>

- Mustafa, M. A., and Othman, S. A. (2024). Effect of adding natural and synthetic antioxidants to broiler drinking water as antistressor on productivity, antioxidant statuses and hematological traits under heat stress. *Tikrit Journal for Agricultural Sciences*, 24(1), 94-104. <https://doi.org/10.25130/tjas.24.1.9>
- NRC, National Research Council. (1994). *Nutrient Requirements of Poultry*. 9th Rev. Ed. NAP. Washington, DC, U.S.A. P.176.
- Prastiya, R. A., Madyawati, S. P., Sari, S. Y., and Nugroho, A. P. (2022). Effect of follicle-stimulating hormone and luteinizing hormone levels on egg-laying frequency in hens. *Veterinary World*, 15(12), 2890–2895. <https://doi.org/10.14202%2Fvetworld.2022.-2890-2895>
- Rafique, N., Jan, S. Y., Dar, A. H., Dash, K. K., Sarkar, A., Shams, R., and Hussain, S. Z. (2023). Promising bioactivities of postbiotics: A comprehensive review. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Research*, 14(10), 1-16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jafr.2023.100708>
- Ramachandran, R., and Schaefer, B. (2021). Tetracycline antibiotics. *Chem Texts*, 7(3), 1-18. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40828-021-00138-x>
- Saed, Z. J., Hamad, O. K., Mohammed, A., and Al-Jumaily, T. K. (2024). Effect of natural zeolite (nz) of growth performance, immunity parameters and gut histology in broiler chicken. *Tikrit Journal for Agricultural Sciences*, 24(2), 93-101. <https://doi.org/10.25130/tjas.24.2.8>
- Scott, E., De Paepe, K., and Van de Wiele, T. (2022). Postbiotics and their health modulatory biomolecules. *Biomolecules*, 12(11), 1640. <https://doi.org/10.3390/biom12111640>
- Soromou, L. W., Leno, P. F., Kamano, A., Souare, M. L., Camara, A. O. D., and Camara, K. (2024). Current practices in the veterinary use of antibiotics in poultry laying hens in Friguiagbe (Guinea). *Journal of Drug Delivery and Therapeutics*, 14(1), 35-40. <https://doi.org/10.22270/jddt.v14i1.6241>
- Stadnicka, K., Dunisławska, A., and Tylkowski, B. (Eds.) (2023). *Poultry science: The many faces of chemistry in poultry production and processing*. Walter de Gruyter GmbH and Co KG. P: 28. <https://doi.org/10.1515/9783110683912>
- Vinderola, G., Sanders, M. E., and Salminen, S. (2022). The concept of postbiotics. *Foods*, 11(8), 1077. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods11081077>
- Wang, A., Lin, J., and Zhong, Q. (2021). Spray-coating as a novel strategy to supplement broiler feed pellets with probiotic *Lactobacillus alivarius* NRRL B-30514. *Food Science and Technology*, 137, 110419. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2020.110419>
- Xu, H., Lu, Y., Zhao, X., and Wang, Y. (2023). Probiotic mediated intestinal microbiota and improved performance, egg quality and ovarian immune function of laying hens at

different laying stage. *Frontiers in Nutrition*, 10, 1103463. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1041072>

Zhou, Y., Li, S., Pang, Q., and Miao, Z. (2020). *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* BLCC1-0238 can effectively improve laying performance and egg quality via enhancing immunity and regulating reproductive hormones of laying hens. *Probiotics and Antimicrobial Proteins*, 12, 246-252. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12602-019-9524-1>

Żółkiewicz, J., Marzec, A., Ruszczyński, M., and Feleszko, W. (2020). Postbiotics-a step beyond pre-and probiotics. *Nutrients*, 12(8), 2189. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu12082189>