

2

ISSN:1813-1646 (Print); 2664-0597 (Online) *Tikrit Journal for Agricultural Sciences* Journal Homepage: http://tujas.tu.edu.ig



مراق جلات الأصادي

### Sheren Dh. Mohammad<sup>\*</sup>; Hurea S. Abdulrazaq; Jinan N. Abulrahman; Sardar Y. Sardary

IRAQI

Animal Resource Dept., Agriculture College, Salahaddin University/Erbil, Iraq.

**KEY WORDS:** 

Flax seed, performance,

hematological, RAPD - PCR, quail.

ARTICLE HISTORY:

Received: 22/08/2018 Accepted: 30/04/2019 Available online: 10/10/2019



### Effect of Adding Grinded Flax Seed to The Diet on Productive Performance and Some Hematological Traits in Different Lines of Local Quails

### ABSTRACT

**Tikrit Journal for Agricultural Sciences (TJAS)** 

**Fikrit** Journal for Agricultural Sciences (TJAS)

This study was conducted to investigate the effect of a dietary supplementation with two levels of powdered flax seed and the impact of different quails' lines and the influence of diet  $\times$  line on the productive performance, and some hematological traits. A total of 162 laying quail in three lines of color as white (W); black (B); and yellowish brown (Y) were distributed into three treatment groups randomly with three replicates/treatment/line T0: control (standard diet); T1 and T2 were standard diet supplemented with 3% and 6% flax seed powder (FSP), respectively. The results upon the overall period of rearing showed that: both FI (g/bird/d) and FCR (g feed: g egg) were the lowest in T1 group than both T2 and T0 groups. HDEP (%) and egg mass (g/ hen/d) of T1 group were significantly higher than other groups. Hematological results explain that T1 group owned significantly higher RBC and HCT (%) than both control and T2 groups. Genetic distance results showed that genetic similarity values range between 0.795 to 0.800. According to the UPGMA dendogram test the white line was the most distant than other two lines genetically. Genetic variation refers to the good genetic resources in the local quail. This study is one of the few studies on determining the effect of interaction between diet and line in the local quail in Kurdistan Region of Iraq, also it represents the first study in Erbil province that depending on the use of RAPD - PCR as a tool to genetic diversity for local quail. Generally, can be concluded and recommended that using 3% flaxseed powder has satisfactorily impacts on performance and health in different local quails' lines.

© 2019 TJAS. College of Agriculture, Tikrit University

# INTRODUCTION

Recently quail farming diffused popularly for both meat and egg production in Kurdistan Region/ Erbil. Quail eggs are perfect source of healthy savory food in human nutrition, according to the fact that are rich sources of antioxidants, minerals, and vitamins, and give us a huge good quality nutrient (Tunsaringkarn *et al.*, 2013). Flaxseed is a good origin of  $\alpha$ -linolenic acid (Bozan and Temelli, 2008), phenolic compounds known as lignans, non-starch polysaccharides, and high quality proteins (Rubilar *et al.*, 2010). Approved that flax seed enhance lactic acid bacteria survival and growth due to its content of prebiotic represented in non-digestible polysaccharides, and soluble fiber known as mucilage (Nezhad *et al.*, 2013). Flax seed is one of the most concentrated sources of PUFA

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author: E-mail: shireen.dhahir@yahoo.com

available in natural feedstuffs for poultry (Al-Nasser et al., 2011). Despite of the beneficial effect of using flaxseed in layer diets, there has been some concern about toxicity associated with flaxseed.

Some reports showed adding high concentrates of flaxseed negatively affected egg production (Najib and Al-yousef, 2011). Whereas, supplementing an intermittent levels of flaxseed improved egg production in poultry (Scheideler and Froning, 1996; Chen et al., 2014). Because of clashing reports on the role of flaxseed in laying diets, the inquiry that should be tended to is whether powdered flaxseed has helpful impact when added to quail diets.

Therefore, this experiment conducted to investigate the effects of a dietary supplementation with two levels of grinded flaxseed and the impact of different local quails' lines and the interacted influence of diet  $\times$  line on the productive performance and some hematological traits.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

This experiment was performed at Grdarasha Farm, Department of Animal Resources/ College of Agriculture/ University of Salahaddin-Erbil during (7<sup>th</sup> November till 12<sup>th</sup> December 2017). Total of 162 laying quails from three different color lines' were: white (W); black (B); and yellowish brown (Y) at 10<sup>th</sup> week of age were randomly distributed into three treatment groups with three replicates/treatment/line. Each replicate contains six (6) quails, birds' sex ratio were 2 female:1 male. The birds reared in battery cages (50\*30\*30 cm). The quails fed for 5 weeks (including one week as adaptation period) containing T0: Control (standard diet); T1 and T2 were standard diet supplemented with 3 and 6% flax seed powder (FSP), respectively. All birds received water and diets *ad libitum*. Control diet ingredients composition shown in Table (1).

| Ingredients (%)                                | Control diet<br>(T0) | Analyzed Feed Composition   |       |
|--|----------------------|-----------------------------|-------|
| Wheat  | 175                  | Crud protein (%)            | 22.91 |
| Corn   | 200                  | Energy metabolism<br>(Kcal) | 2930  |
| Wheat flour                                    | 250                  | Lipid (%)                   | 3.32  |
| Soya bean Meal                                 | 290                  | Fiber (%)                   | 3.11  |
| Protein Concentrate (Fish Meal<br>Concentrate) | 50                   | Calcium (%)                 | 1.00  |
| Di-Calcium Phosphate                           | 6                    | Total phosphorus (%)        | 0.511 |
| Methionine                                     | 0.9                  | Available phosphorus<br>(%) | 0.270 |
| Lysine   | 0.5                  | Salt (%)                    | 0.212 |
| Cholin Chloride                                | 0.5                  | Arginine (%)                | 1.21  |
| Salt   | 0.85                 | Lysine (%)                  | 1.08  |
| Oil (vegetable oil)                            | 13                   | Methionine + Cystine<br>(%) | 0.633 |
| Feed toxic                                     | 1                    | Methionine (%)              | 0.382 |
| Lime stone                                     | 10                   | Threonine (%)               | 0.681 |
| Vitamin premix                                 | 0.5                  | Tryptophan (%)              | 0.234 |
| Antioxidants                                   | 0.25                 |                             |       |
| Feed Sterilizes                                | 1                    |                             |       |
| Anticoccidia                                   | 0.5                  |                             |       |
| Total  | 1000                 |                             |       |

Table 1: Ingredients and analyzed composition of diet that fed to the laying quails.

During the experiment period initial body weight (IBW) (g), final body weight (FBW) (g), weekly and overall feed intake (FI) (g/bird/period), egg weight (g) and produced eggs, were recorded. Hen day egg production (HDEP%), egg mass (g/hen/day), feed conversion ratio (FCR) as (g feed : g egg), were calculated. As well as, at the last week of the experiment blood samples were collected to determine some blood traits and genetic distance using RAPD-PCR marker.

The statistical analysis done according to factorial experiment in Completely Randomized Design (CRD)  $(3\times3)$  using General Linear Models (GLM) procedure of SAS software program package (SAS, 2012), version 9.1. Duncan's multiple range test was used to identify significant differences between means (Duncan, 1955).

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

UPGMA dendogram based on Nei's genetic distance grouped investigated quail line genotypes in one clusters, included White (W); Black (B); and Yellowish brown (Y). The dendogram, based on genetic distance constructed to show phylogenetic relationships among the quail lines. It was clear that the white line appeared to be most distant from the other lines whereas the black and yellowish brown lines were closely related together with the highest genetic similarity. The close identity between the black and yellowish brown lines suggests that all the indigenous breeds are more or less comparatively similar and branched from the same line of evolutionary tree Figure (1).



Figure (1): Dendogram of three quail lines based on genetic distances among them.

The data of initial and final body weight (g) are shown in Table (2). The results of final body weight showed that both T1 and T2 groups owned significantly less final body weight than control. While there were not significant differences among quail's lines. However, just T2W group owned significantly less final body weight than each of T0W, T0B, T0Y and T2B groups. The results disagree with the results of Omri et al. (2017) in laying hens. The reduction in final body weight could be related to the presence of Cyanogenic glycosides including linustatin, neolinustatin, and linmarin present in the milled flaxseed due to loss of effective intestinal epithelial cell absorptive function (Kajla et al., 2015).

Feed intake (g/bird/day) and feed conversion ratio (g feed :g egg) in different lines of local quails are presented in Table (3). It can be noted that T1 (3% FSP) consumed less feed and had the lowest FCR than both Control and T2 groups during the overall period of experiment. T1 results agree with Bean and Leeson (2003) and Sceideler and Froning (1996) who reported a decrease in feed intake for groups fed flaxseed as supplement to diet. T2 results agree with Omri et al. (2017); Yassein et al. (2015) and Al-Nasser et al.(2011) as they resulted non-significant (P>0.05) impact in treatments added with linseeds as compared to control in FI and FCR. Whereas Yassein et al. (2015), Novak and Sheideler (2001) and Caston and Leeson (1990) declared an opposite finding that disagree with the results of both T1 and T2 groups in FI. Feed intake reduction in T1 group might have relation to the anti-nutritional factors in flaxseed that has impact on the palatability in poultry (Hayat et al., 2009).

| -                        |   | /   |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|
|                          | Tuesday and (T)   | T0=Control  | T1= +3%FSP   | T2= + 6% FSP   | L.S*   |  |
|                          | reatments (1)   | 184.39±7.96   | 189.06±7.66  | 189.11±8.43  | NS   |  |
|                          | Lines (L)   | W   | В  | Y  | L.S*   |  |
| Initial                  | Lines (L)   | 169.78±7.12 b   | 195.94±7.12 a  | 196.83±8.06 a  | *  |  |
| body                     | Interaction(T*L)  | TO  | T1   | Т2   | L.S*   |  |
| weight (g)               | W   | 158.50±11.03 b  | 175.33±14.88ab   | 175.50±11.63ab   | NS   |  |
|                          | В   | 204.83±7.84 a   | 191.50±13.88ab   | 191.50±15.48ab   | NS   |  |
|                          | Y   | 189.83±15.51ab  | 200.33±10.94ab   | 200.33±16.96ab   | Ns   |  |
|                          | L.S**   | *   | NS   | NS   |  |  |
|                          |   |   |  |  |  |  |
|                          | Tuesday on ta (T)   | T0=Control  | T1= +3%FSP   | T2=+6% FSP   | L.S.*  |  |
|                          | Treatments (T)  | <b>T0=Control</b><br>220.28±8.07 a  | <b>T1= +3%FSP</b><br>192.83±8.55 b   | <b>T2= + 6% FSP</b><br>188.94±8.69 b   | L.S.*<br>*                                   |  |
|                          | Treatments (T)  | <b>T0=Control</b><br>220.28±8.07 a<br><b>W</b>  | <b>T1= +3%FSP</b><br>192.83±8.55 b<br><b>B</b>   | <b>T2= + 6% FSP</b><br>188.94±8.69 b<br><b>Y</b>   | L.S.*<br>*<br>L.S*                           |  |
|                          | Treatments (T)<br>Lines (L)   | T0=Control           220.28±8.07 a           W           187.44±8.15  | T1= +3%FSP         192.83 $\pm$ 8.55 b         B         206.61 $\pm$ 9.88   | T2= + 6% FSP $188.94\pm8.69$ b         Y $208.00\pm8.29$   | L.S.*<br>*<br>L.S*<br>N.S                    |  |
| Final body               | Treatments (T)<br>Lines (L)<br>Interaction(T*L)                     | T0=Control           220.28±8.07 a           W           187.44±8.15           T0   | T1= +3%FSP         192.83±8.55 b         B         206.61±9.88         T1  | T2= + 6% FSP $188.94\pm 8.69$ b         Y $208.00\pm 8.29$ T2  | L.S.*<br>*<br>L.S*<br>N.S<br>L.S*            |  |
| Final body<br>weight (g) | Treatments (T)<br>Lines (L)<br>Interaction(T*L)<br>W                | T0=Control           220.28±8.07 a           W           187.44±8.15           T0           207.33±4.84 a   | T1= +3%FSP         192.83 $\pm$ 8.55 b         B         206.61 $\pm$ 9.88         T1         195.00 $\pm$ 19.46 ab              | T2= + 6% FSP         188.94 $\pm$ 8.69 b         Y         208.00 $\pm$ 8.29         T2         160.00 $\pm$ 5.80 b            | L.S.*<br>*<br>L.S*<br>N.S<br>L.S*<br>*       |  |
| Final body<br>weight (g) | Treatments (T)<br>Lines (L)<br>Interaction(T*L)<br>W<br>B           | T0=Control           220.28±8.07 a           W           187.44±8.15           T0           207.33±4.84 a           217.83±20.71 a                          | T1= +3%FSP $192.83\pm 8.55$ b         B $206.61\pm 9.88$ T1 $195.00\pm 19.46$ ab $189.83\pm 13.45$ ab                            | T2= + 6% FSP $188.94\pm 8.69$ b         Y $208.00\pm 8.29$ T2 $160.00\pm 5.80$ b $212.17\pm 17.25$ a                           | L.S.*<br>*<br>L.S*<br>N.S<br>L.S*<br>*<br>NS |  |
| Final body<br>weight (g) | Treatments (T)<br>Lines (L)<br>Interaction(T*L)<br>W<br>B<br>B<br>Y | T0=Control           220.28±8.07 a           W           187.44±8.15           T0           207.33±4.84 a           217.83±20.71 a           235.67±11.43 a | T1= +3%FSP $192.83\pm 8.55$ b <b>B</b> $206.61\pm 9.88$ <b>T1</b> $195.00\pm 19.46$ ab $189.83\pm 13.45$ ab $193.67\pm 13.52$ ab | T2= + 6% FSP $188.94 \pm 8.69$ b         Y $208.00 \pm 8.29$ T2 $160.00 \pm 5.80$ b $212.17 \pm 17.25$ a $194.67 \pm 12.56$ ab | L.S.* * L.S* N.S L.S* * NS NS NS NS          |  |

| Table2: Effect of a | adding flax seed    | powder on | initial and | l final bod | y weight ( | g) in di | fferent l | ines o | f |
|---------------------|---------------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|------------|----------|-----------|--------|---|
| local quail         | ls (Mean $\pm$ SE). |           |             |             |            |          |           |        |   |

\* a, b, c means in rows bearing different superscripts differ significantly at P < 0.05. \*\* a, b, c means in column bearing different superscripts differ significantly at P < 0.05. L.S= List of Significance.

**Table 3:** Effect of adding flaxseed powder on feed intake (g/bird/d) and feed conversion ratio (g feed: g egg) in different lines of local quails (Mean  $\pm$  SE).

|   | Treatments Period  | T0=Control   | T1= +3%FSP   | T2= +6%FSP   | L.S*                |
|---|--|--|--|--|---------------------|
| Feed Intake                                 | 1 <sup>st</sup> Wk   | 23.289±0.153b  | 17.245±0.103c  | 28.085±0.152a  | *                   |
| (g/bird/d)                                  | 2 <sup>nd</sup> Wk   | 21.847±0.185 a   | 19.267±0.092b  | 19.337±0.086b  | *                   |
|   | 3 <sup>rd</sup> Wk   | 25.674±0.137a  | 18.339±0.075b  | 22.959±0.117a  | *                   |
|   | 4 <sup>th</sup> Wk   | 30.544±0.094 a   | 22.253±0.082c  | 28.608±0.052b  | *                   |
|   | <b>Overall period</b>  | 25.464±0.093 a   | 19.276±0.050c  | 24.747±0.058b  | *                   |
|   |  |  |  |  |                     |
| Feed Conversion<br>Ratio<br>(g feed :g egg) | Treatments<br>Period   | T0=Control   | T1=+3%FSP  | T2= + 6%FSP  | L.S*                |
| Feed Conversion<br>Ratio<br>(g feed :g egg) | Treatments<br>Period<br>1st Wk                               | <b>T0=Control</b><br>2.595±0.201 a   | <b>T1= +3%FSP</b><br>1.426±0.092 c   | <b>T2= + 6%FSP</b><br>2.086±0.105b   | L.S*<br>*           |
| Feed Conversion<br>Ratio<br>(g feed :g egg) | Treatments<br>Period<br>1st Wk<br>2nd Wk                     | <b>T0=Control</b><br>2.595±0.201 a<br>1.327±0.078a                                 | <b>T1= +3%FSP</b><br>1.426±0.092 c<br>1.060±0.063b                                 | <b>T2= + 6%FSP</b><br>2.086±0.105b<br>1.416±0.064a                                 | L.S*<br>*<br>*      |
| Feed Conversion<br>Ratio<br>(g feed :g egg) | Treatments<br>Period<br>1st Wk<br>2nd Wk<br>3rd Wk           | <b>T0=Control</b><br>2.595±0.201 a<br>1.327±0.078a<br>1.424±0.068b                 | <b>T1= +3%FSP</b><br>1.426±0.092 c<br>1.060±0.063b<br>0.831±0.055c                 | <b>T2= + 6%FSP</b><br>2.086±0.105b<br>1.416±0.064a<br>1.614±0.07a                  | L.S*<br>*<br>*      |
| Feed Conversion<br>Ratio<br>(g feed :g egg) | Treatments<br>Period<br>1st Wk<br>2nd Wk<br>3rd Wk<br>4th Wk | <b>T0=Control</b><br>2.595±0.201 a<br>1.327±0.078a<br>1.424±0.068b<br>1.135±0.051b | <b>T1= +3%FSP</b><br>1.426±0.092 c<br>1.060±0.063b<br>0.831±0.055c<br>0.814±0.070c | $T2= + 6\% FSP$ $2.086\pm0.105b$ $1.416\pm0.064a$ $1.614\pm0.07a$ $1.498\pm0.068a$ | L.S*<br>*<br>*<br>* |

\*a, b, c means in rows bearing different superscripts differ significantly at P < 0.05. L.S= List of significance.

Result of egg weight (g) shown in Table (4). Generally, there were significant differences in egg weight among treatment, lines and the interacted (T\*L) groups as they compared to each other. The data of treatments groups disagree with Yassein *et al.* (2015); Nain *et al.* (2012) and Al-Nasser *et al.* (2011) who resulted that treating laying hens with different levels of flaxseed in their diets had not significant (p>0.05) effects on egg weight. The numerical decrease in egg weight might be linked to the high level of n-3 PUFA in flax seed that results the hypo-triglyceridemic which can reduce the availability of lipids for formation the egg yolk (Gonzalez-Esquerra and Leeson, 2000).

| Treatments     |             | T0=Control      | T1= +3%FSP      | T2= + 6% FSP   |       |
|----------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|-------|
| Treatments     | Periods     |                 |                 |                | L.S.* |
| 1st Wk         |             | 11.244±1.013a   | 9.375±0.221a    | 8.719±0.250b   | *     |
| 2nd Wk         |             | 11.403±0.341a   | 11.175±0.187ab  | 10.977±0.213b  | *     |
| 3rd Wk         |             | 12.008±0.305a   | 11.307±0.109b   | 11.755±0.130a  | *     |
| 4th Wk         |             | 11.743±0.195a   | 11.967±0.103a   | 11.949±0.172a  | N.S   |
| Overall period |             | 11.599±0.372a   | 10.956±0.098b   | 10.850±0.140b  | *     |
| Lines          |             | XX/             | P               | V              | 15*   |
|                | Periods     | **              | D               | 1              | L.S.  |
| 1st Wk         |             | 9.646±0.379a    | 10.359±1.144a   | 9.333±0.211a   | N.S   |
| 2nd Wk         |             | 11.288±0.281a   | 11.525±0.172a   | 10.742±0.244b  | *     |
| 3rd Wk         |             | 12.146±0.251a   | 11.626±0.188b   | 11.298±0.096c  | *     |
| 4th Wk         |             | 11.771±0.129a   | 12.175±0.145a   | 11.713±0.170b  | *     |
| Overall Period |             | 11.213±0.222ab  | 11.421±0.341a   | 10.771±0.123b  | *     |
| Periods        |             | то              | Т1              | Т2             | L.S.* |
| Interac        | ction (T*L) |                 |                 |                | 2.001 |
| 1st WK         | W           | 10.833±0.440ab  | 9.600±0.208b    | 8.504±0.365b   | NS    |
|                | В           | 13.500±2.775a   | 8.892±0.513b    | 8.685±0.588b   | *     |
|                | Y           | 9.400±0.305b    | 9.633±0.328b    | 8.966±0.470b   | NS    |
| L.S**          |             | NS              | NS              | NS             |       |
| 2nd WK         | W           | 12.016±0.072a   | 11.550±0.292a   | 10.300±0.264e  | *     |
| _              | В           | 12.061±0.171a   | 11.205±0.120bc  | 11.309±0.309b  | *     |
|                | Y           | 10.133±0.392e   | 10.77±0.412cd   | 11.322±0.192b  | *     |
| L.S**          | r           | *               | *               | *              |       |
| 3rd WK         | W           | 13.036±0.0915a  | 11.378±0.212de  | 12.022±0.077b  | *     |
|                | В           | 11.833±0.440bcd | 11.080±0.042d   | 11.966±0.033bc | *     |
|                | Y           | 11.154±0.079e   | 11.463±0.239deb | 11.276±0.153e  | NS    |
| L.S**          |             | *               | *               | *              |       |
| 4th WK         | W           | 11.928±0.128ab  | 12.014±0.070ab  | 11.370±0.237cd | *     |
|                | B           | 12.105±0.440ab  | 12.187±0.140a   | 12.23±0.185a   | NS    |
|                | Y           | 11.195±0.115d   | 11.700±0.208bc  | 12.24±0.123a   | *     |
| L.S**          | 1           | *               | *               | *              |       |
| Overall period | W           | 11.953±0.164a   | 11.135±0.133b   | 10.549±10.54b  | *     |
|                | B           | 12.375±0.773a   | 10.841±0.190b   | 11.048±0.27b   | *     |
|                | Y           | 10.470±0.190b   | 10.891±0.192b   | 10.952±0.20b   | NS    |
| L.S**          |             | *               | NS              | NS             |       |

| Table | (4): | Effect | of  | adding | flax | seed | powder | on | egg | weight | (g) | in | different | lines | of | local | quails |
|-------|------|--------|-----|--------|------|------|--------|----|-----|--------|-----|----|-----------|-------|----|-------|--------|
|       | (N   | lean±S | E). |        |      |      |        |    |     |        |     |    |           |       |    |       |        |

\*a, b means in rows bearing different superscripts differ significantly at P < 0.05. \*\* a, b, c means in column bearing different superscripts differ significantly at P < 0.05. L.S= List of Significance.

Hen-day egg production (%) results affected by adding FSP in different lines of local quail are presented in Table (5). During the overall period of experiment, the results of hen-day egg production (%) in T1 (3% FSP) was significantly higher than both control (T0) and T2 (6% FSP) groups; also Y line had significantly higher than both W and B lines; as well as for the interacted groups just T1Y possessed higher significantly HDEP % than other interacted groups. T1 and T2 results disagree with Omri *et al.* (2017); Yassein *et al.* (2015); Manohar and Edwin (2016) and Al-Nasser *et al.* (2011) who resulted non-significant egg rate (%) in different levels of flaxseed added to chicken's diet as compared to control. Adding 6% FSP to laying quails diet decreased egg production as compared to both control and 3% FSP diets which related to the presence of anti-nutritional factors in full-fat flax seed might be impair the digestion and absorption of energy yielding nutrients in the hens (Scheideler *et al.* (1995) and Gonzalez- Eesquerra and Leeson (2000).

|                | wican  |                           |                   |                  |       |
|----------------|--------|---------------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------|
| Treatments     |        | T0=Control                | T1 = +3% FSP      | 12 = +6% FSP     | L.S.* |
| P P            | eriods |                           |                   |                  |       |
| 1st Wk         |        | 31.66±5.89c               | 48.33±1.66b       | 55.55±4.89a      | *     |
| 2nd Wk         |        | 53.57±5.32b               | 60.71±4.20a       | 45.63±2.12c      | *     |
| 3rd Wk         |        | 54.76±7.74b               | 67.85±3.02a       | 44.44±5.71c      | *     |
| 4th Wk         |        | 81.34±8.74a               | 75.79±7.59b       | 58.73±5.52c      | *     |
| Overall period |        | 55.33±3.17b               | 63.17±3.84a       | 51.09±3.18c      | *     |
| Lines          | orioda | W                         | В                 | Y                | L.S.* |
| 1 st Wk        | erious | 43 33+8 70b               | 47 22+2 06a       | 45 00+4 24ab     | *     |
| 2nd Wk         |        | 61 90+5 98a               | $47.22\pm2.00a$   | 49.00±4.24d0     | *     |
| 3rd Wk         |        | $62.30 \pm 3.90 \text{h}$ | $33 33 \pm 178c$  | 71 /2+2 669      | *     |
| Ath W/k        |        | 78 57+5 70b               | $33.33 \pm 4.780$ | 02.46+3.832      | *     |
| Aur vyk        |        | $61.57\pm 3.700$          | $44.04\pm1.470$   | $92.40\pm 3.83a$ | *     |
| Derioda        |        | $01.32\pm1.040$           | 45.55±1.400       | 04.32±2.37a      | •     |
| Interaction    | (T*L)  | TO                        | T1                | T2               | L.S.* |
| 1st WK         | W      | 13.33±1.68f               | 43.33±1.60a       | 73.33±1.66a      | *     |
|                | В      | 53.33±1.60b               | 48.33±1.46c       | 40.00±0.01d      | *     |
|                | Y      | 28.33±1.65e               | 53.33±1.64b       | 53.33±1.65b      | *     |
| L.S**          |        | *                         | *                 | *                |       |
| 2nd WK         | W      | 75.79±1.64a               | 73.80±1.13a       | 38.09±1.10f      | *     |
|                | В      | 48.80±1.10d               | 45.23±1.15e       | 52.38±1.08c      | *     |
|                | Y      | 38.09±1.14f               | 63.09±1.17b       | 46.42±0.01de     | *     |
| L.S**          |        | *                         | *                 | *                |       |
| 3rd WK         | W      | 70.23±1.19b               | 70.23±1.19b       | 46.42±0.08e      | *     |
|                | В      | 23.80±1.08f               | 52.38±1.14a       | 23.80±1.21f      | *     |
|                | Y      | 70.23±1.71b               | 80.95±1.78a       | 63.09±1.62c      | *     |
| L.S**          |        | *                         | *                 | *                |       |
| 4th WK         | W      | 98.80±1.19a               | 77.38±1.14b       | 59.52±1.47c      | *     |
|                | В      | 46.42±0.01d               | 48.80±1.18d       | 39.28±0.02e      | *     |
|                | Y      | 98.80±1.12a               | 101.19±0.72a      | 77.38±0.91b      | *     |
| L.S**          |        | *                         | *                 | *                |       |
| Overall period | W      | 64.04±0.66c               | 66.19±0.66b       | 54.34±0.52e      | *     |
|                | В      | 43.09±0.62g               | 48.69±0.90f       | 38.86±0.59h      | *     |
|                | Y      | 58.86±66.19d              | 74.64±0.88a       | 60.05±1.01d      | *     |
| L.S**          | 1      | *                         | *                 | *                |       |

| Table (5): Effect of ad | dding flaxseed powder | on hen-day | egg production | (%) in different | lines of |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|------------|----------------|------------------|----------|
| local quails (          | Mean±SE).             |            |                |                  |          |

\*a, ....h means in rows bearing different superscripts differ significantly at P < 0.05. \*\* a, ....h means in column bearing different superscripts differ significantly at P < 0.05.

Table (6) displays the results of egg mass (g/hen/day). T1 (3% FSP) had significantly higher egg mass than both control and T2 (6% FSP) groups. Upon lines both Y and W lines owned significantly higher egg mass. In interacted groups T1Y had significantly higher egg mass as compared to the other interacted groups as overall period of the experiment. Our results of both T1 and T2 as compared to control agree with Omri *et al.*(2017); Yassein *et al.* (2015) and Al-Nasser *et al.*(2011).

| Treatments             | miada  | T0=Control     | T1=+3%FSP      | T2=+6% FSP                   | L.S.* |
|------------------------|--------|----------------|----------------|------------------------------|-------|
| let Wk                 | erious | 3 74+0 93b     | 4 53+0 202     | 4 83+0 422                   | *     |
| 2nd Wk                 |        | 6 20+0 73b     | $4.33\pm0.20a$ | $4.03\pm0.42a$<br>5.03±0.30c | *     |
| 3rd Wk                 |        | 6.60±0.96b     | $7.69\pm0.57a$ | 5.05±0.50c                   | *     |
| 4th Wk                 |        | $9.49\pm0.97a$ | 9.03±0.32a     | 7.01+0.68c                   | *     |
| Overall period         |        | $651\pm0.37b$  | 7 01+0 45a     | 5 51+0 35c                   | *     |
| Lines                  |        | 0.51±0.570     | 7.01±0.+3u     | 5.51±0.55€                   |       |
| Periods                |        | W              | B              | Y                            | L.S.* |
| 1st Wk.                |        | 3.95±0.70b     | 4.96±0.66a     | 4.96±0.66b                   | *     |
| 2nd Wk.                |        | 7.10±0.80a     | 5.62±0.17b     | 5.30±0.44c                   | *     |
| 3rd Wk.                |        | 7.57±0.53b     | 3.82±0.50c     | 8.07±0.34a                   | *     |
| 4th Wk.                |        | 9.28±0.73b     | 5.45±0.18c     | 10.79±0.36a                  | *     |
| <b>Overall Period</b>  |        | 6.98±0.35a     | 4.96±0.21b     | 7.09±0.31a                   | *     |
| Periods<br>Interaction | (T*L)  | TO             | T1             | T2                           | L.S.* |
| 1st WK                 | W      | 1.45±0.23f     | 4.16±0.23cd    | 6.24±0.33ab                  | *     |
|                        | В      | 7.10±1.21a     | 4.31±0.37cd    | 3.47±0.23de                  | *     |
|                        | Y      | 2.66±0.20ef    | 5.13±0.21cb    | 4.79±0.36c                   | *     |
| L.S**                  |        | *              | NS             | *                            |       |
| 2nd WK                 | W      | 8.87±0.18a     | 8.51±0.14a     | 3.92±0.17e                   | *     |
|                        | В      | 5.89±0.21c     | 5.07±0.18d     | 5.92±0.25c                   | *     |
|                        | Y      | 3.86±0.22e     | 6.80±0.37b     | 5.25±0.08d                   | *     |
| L.S**                  |        | *              | *              | *                            |       |
| 3rd WK                 | W      | 9.15±0.17a     | 7.99±0.19b     | 5.58±0.03d                   | *     |
|                        | В      | 2.81±0.16e     | 5.80±0.15d     | 2.84±0.13e                   | *     |
|                        | Y      | 7.83±0.18b     | 9.28±0.32a     | 7.11±0.16c                   | *     |
| L.S**                  |        | *              | *              | *                            |       |
| 4th WK                 | W      | 11.78±0.23a    | 9.29±0.18c     | 6.77±0.25d                   | *     |
|                        | В      | 5.62±0.20e     | 5.94±0.17e     | 4.80±0.07f                   | *     |
|                        | Y      | 11.06±0.23b    | 11.84±0.32a    | 9.47±0.11c                   | *     |
| L.S**                  | 1      | *              | *              | *                            |       |
| Overall period         | W      | 7.81±0.14b     | 7.49±0.15b     | 5.62±0.18d                   | *     |
|                        | B      | 5.35±0.33d     | 5.28±0.18d     | 4.26±0.16e                   | *     |
|                        | Y      | 6.35±0.17c     | 8.26±0.22a     | 6.65±0.18f                   | *     |
| L.S**                  |        | *              | *              | *                            |       |

| Table (6): Effect of adding f | laxseed powder of | on egg mass ( | (g/hen/day) | in different | lines of | f local |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------|--------------|----------|---------|
| quails (Mean±SE).             |                   |               |             |              |          |         |

\*a, ....e means in rows bearing different superscripts differ significantly at P < 0.05. \*\* a, ....e means in column bearing different superscripts differ significantly at P < 0.05.

Birds health state can be determined by hematological traits. Blood parameters results' that studied in this experiment as red blood cells account (\*10<sup>6</sup>/mm<sup>3</sup>); hematocrit (%) and hemoglobin (g/dl) are displayed in Tables (7, 8 and 9), respectively. As it's clear that blood samples of T1 (3% FSP) had significantly higher of both red blood cells account (\*10<sup>6</sup>/mm<sup>3</sup>) and hematocrit (%) as compared to both Control and T2 groups. Whereas other remained groups upon treatments, lines even the interacted groups did not show significant differences as compared to each other and to control neither in red blood cells account nor hematocrit and hemoglobin.

The present results of RBC's count, which is within the physiological rang that could be explained by the normal blood values for a normal growth of birds. The high value of RBC account in T1 group in one hand may be related to the influence of high metabolic energy in laying birds that stimulate bone marrow to produce more red blood cells to provide more oxygen due to erythrocytes number is a signal of the oxygen transfer capacity in the blood thus it can be used as an indicator of health in birds since its contribution in improving the body's defense system against disease (Sergent

et al., 2004), our results in both Table 3 and 5 confirm it. However, the significant low RBCs number in both T0 and T2 groups as compared to T1 might be a sign of anemia, since RBCs production depends on the nutritional status.

Evaluation of hematocrit (HCT %) and hemoglobin (Hgb) are essential for determining the state of health of a bird. The numerical increase in Hbg of T1 group probably is influenced by oxygen levels and erythrocytes so that with the increase in the number of red blood cells will be accompanied by an increase in the amount of Hb (Pantaya and Utami, 2018).

It is clear that the results of hematocrit (%) related directly to the RBCs results because it means the percentage (by volume) of blood that consist of red blood cell after being centrifuged (Fradson et al., 2010). Decrease in hematocrit value can be caused by several factors namely the level of stress by environment influence and nutrition, dehyderation and parasite in blood (Challenge et al., 2001). The improvements for both Hbg and HCT % in T1 group due to feeding 3% FSP may related to the alteration in the fluidity and composition of the plasma cell walls this may attributed to the presence of omega 3 (n-3) polyunsaturated fatty acids which is very useful to be rich in food because it's beneficial effects in reduce risk of cardiovascular disease (Najib and Al-Yousef, 2011).

**Table (7):** Effect of adding flaxseed powder on red blood cells account (\*10^6/mm<sup>3</sup>) in different lines of local quails (Mean + SE).

| Treatments (T)    | T0=Control  | T1=+3%FSP    | T2= + 6% FSP | L.S.* |
|-------------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------|
|                   | 3.575b±0.06 | 5.850a±1.02  | 3.945b±0.21  | *     |
| Lines (L)         | W           | В            | Y            | L.S*  |
|                   | 3.798±0.21  | 5.165±0.88   | 4.407±0.83   | NS    |
| Interaction (T*L) | TO          | T1           | T2           | L.S*  |
| W                 | 3.590b±0.08 | 5.820ab±2.64 | 3.810b±0.47  | NS    |
| В                 | 3.490b±0.09 | 3.945b±0.72  | 3.960b±0.00  | N.S   |
| Y                 | 3.645b±0.17 | 7.785a±0.87  | 4.065b±0.64  | *     |
| L.S**             | N.S         | N.S          | N.S          |       |

\*a, b means in rows bearing different superscripts differ significantly at P < 0.05. \*\* a, b means in column bearing different superscripts differ significantly at P < 0.05.

 Table (8): Effect of adding flaxseed powder on hematocrit (%) in different lines of local quails (Mean±SE).

| Treatments (T)    | T0=Control   | T1= +3%FSP   | T2= + 6% FSP | L.S.* |
|-------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------|
|                   | 27.967b±0.72 | 45.100a±4.73 | 31.450b±1.57 | *     |
| Lines (L)         | W            | В            | Y            | L.S*  |
|                   | 30.767±2.01  | 38.317±5.13  | 35.433±4.68  | N.S   |
| Interaction (T*L) | T1           | T2           | Т3           | L.S*  |
| W                 | 28.950b±0.05 | 33.900b±5.80 | 29.450b±3.45 | NS    |
| В                 | 29.250b±0.15 | 52.550a±9.15 | 33.150b±0.45 | *     |
| Y                 | 25.700b±0.10 | 48.850a±4.75 | 31.750b±4.25 | *     |
| L.S**             | NS           | *            | NS           |       |

\*a, b means in rows bearing different superscripts differ significantly at P < 0.05. \*\* a, b means in column bearing different superscripts differ significantly at P < 0.05.

| Treatments (T)    | T0=Control  | T1=+3%FSP   | T2=+6% FSP  | L.S.* |
|-------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------|
|                   | 16.683±1.08 | 18.483±0.92 | 17.083±0.31 | N.S   |
| Lines (L)         | W           | В           | Y           | L.S*  |
|                   | 16.700±0.61 | 18.350±0.91 | 17.200±0.99 | N.S   |
| Interaction (T*L) | T1          | T2          | Т3          | L.S*  |
| W                 | 17.250±0.05 | 15.300±0.70 | 17.550±1.45 | N.S   |
| В                 | 17.700±0.60 | 18.050±3.25 | 19.300±0.30 | N.S   |
| Y                 | 16.300±0.20 | 16.700±1.60 | 18.600±3.00 | N.S   |
| L.S**             | N.S         | N.S         | N.S         | N.S   |

**Table (9):** Effect of adding flaxseed powder on hemoglobin (g/dl) in different lines of local quails (Mean±SE).

### CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Generally, upon the results, it obvious that T1 (+ 3% FSP) group had positive effects on both production performance and hematological traits. We recommend that using 3% flaxseed powder has satisfactorily impacts on performance and health in different local quails lines. Based on UPGMA dendogram test, white line was the most distant from the other two lines genetically. More experimental investigations should be conducted to determine the optimum and safe using levels of flaxseed powder in quails specially and in other poultry birds generally.

#### REFERENCES

- Al-Nasser, A.Y., Al-Saffar A. E., Abdullah F. K., Al-Bahouh M. E., Ragheb G. and Mashaly M. M. (2011). Effect of adding flaxseed in the diet of laying hens on both production of omega-3 enriched eggs and on production performance. *International Journal of Poultry Science*, 10 (10): 825-831. ISSN 1682-8356.
- Bean, L.D. and Leeson S. (2003). Long-term effects of feeding flaxseed on performance and egg fatty acid composition of brown and white hens. *Poult Sci.*, 82: 388-394.
- Bozan, B. and Temelli F. (2008). Chemical composition and oxidative stability of flax, safflower and poppy seed and seed oils. *Bioresource Technology*, 99: 6354–6359.
- Caston, L. and Leeson S.(1990). Research note: dietary flaxseed and egg composition. *Poult Sci.*, 69: 1617-1620.
- **Challenger, W. O., Williams T. D., Christians J. K. and Vejina F.** (2001). Follicular development and plasma yolk precursors dynamics through the laying cycle in the European starling (Sturnusvulgaris). *Physiol. Biochem. Zool.*, 74:356-365. http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/320427.
- Chen, W., Jiang Y. Y., Huang Y. Q., Wang Z. X., and Wang J. P. (2014). Effects of dietary flaxseed meal on production performance, egg quality, and hatchability of Huoyan geese and fatty acids profile in egg yolk and thigh meat from their offspring. *Livestock Science*, 164(1):102-108.
- **Duncan, D. B.** (1955). Multiple range and multiple F- test. *Biometrics*, 11: 1 42.
- **Frandson, R. D., Lee Wilke W. and Fails A. D.** (2010). Anatomy and Physiology of Farm Animals. *A John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Publication,* 7th Edition, pp 262.
- Gonzalez-Esquerra, R. and Leeson, S. (2000). Effect of feeding hens regular or deodorized menhaden oil on production parameters, yolk fatty acid profile, and sensory quality of eggs. *Poult. Sci.*, 79:1597–1602.
- Hayat, Z., Cherian G., Pasha T. N., Khattak F. M., and Jabbar M. A. (2009). Effect of feeding flax and two types of antioxidants on egg production, egg quality, and lipid composition of eggs. J. Appl. Poult. Res., 18:541–551. doi: 10.3382/japr.2009-00008.

- Kajla P., Sharma A. and Sood D. R. (2015). Flaxseed-a potential functional food source. *The Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 52:1857–1871.
- Manohar, G. R. and Edwin S.C. (2016). Effect of omega-3 PUFA rich oil sources on egg production performance of japanese quail. *International Journal of Science, Environment, and Technology*, 5(2):794–798.
- Nain, S., Renema R.A., Zuidhof M.J. and Korver D.R. (2012). Effect of metabolic efficiency and intestinal morphology on variability in n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid enrichment of eggs. *Poult. Sci*, 91: 888-898.
- Najib, H. and AL- Yousef Y.M. (2011). Performance and essential fatty acids content of dark meat as affected by supplementing the broiler diet with different levels of flaxseed. *Annual Review & Research in Biology*, 1: 22-32.
- Nezhad M. H., Duc C., Han N.F. and Hosseinian F. (2013). Flaxseed soluble dietary fiber enhances lactic acid bacterial survival and growth in kefir and possesses high antioxidant capacity. *Journal of Food Research*, 2: 152.
- Novak, C. and Sheideler S. E. (2001). Long-term effects of feeding flaxseed-based diets, egg production parameters, components, and eggshell quality in two strains of laying hens. *Poult Sci*, 80: 1480-1489.
- **Omri, B., Chalghoumi R. and Abdouli H. (2017)**. Study of the effects of dietary supplementation of linseeds, fenugreek seeds and tomato-pepper mix on laying hen's performances, egg yolk lipids and antioxidants profiles and lipid oxidation status. *Journal of animal sciences and livestock production*, 1:(2)1-9. <u>http://www.imedpub.com/animal-sciences-and-livestock-production/</u>.
- Pantaya, D. and Utami M. M. D. (2018). The blood hematological profile on laying hens that treated by different levels of yeast supplementation. *IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci.*, 207 012033. DOI <u>https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/207/1/012033</u>
- Rubilar, M., Gutierrez C., Verdugo M., Shene C. and Sineiro J. (2010). Flaxseed as a source of functional ingredients. *Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*, 10: 373–377.
- SAS, (2012). Statistical analysis system. SAS / STAT User's Guide, Version 9.1. SAS. Inst. Inc., Cary, NC.
- Sceideler S.E. and Froning G.W. (1996). The combined influence of dietary flaxseed variety, level, form and storage condition on egg production and composition among vitamin E-supplemented hens. *Poult Sci.*, 75: 1221-1226.
- Scheideler, S.E., Jaroni, D. and Froning G. (1995). Strain and dietary oats effect on flax fed hen's egg production and fatty acid profile. *Poult. Sci.*, 74: (suppl. 1).
- Sergent, N., Rogers T. and Cunningham M. (2004). Influence of biological and ecological factors on hematological values in wild Little Penguins, Eudyptula minor. *Comp. Bioc. and Phys.* 138: 333-339.
- **Tunsaringkarn, T., Tungjaroenchai W. and Siriwong W.** (2013). Nutrient benefits of quail (*CoturnixCoturnix Japonica*) eggs. *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications*. 3(5):1-8.
- Yassein, S. A., El-Mallah G. M., Sawsan M.A., El-Ghamry A.A., Abdel- Fattah M.M. (2015). Response of laying hens to dietary flaxseed levels on performance, egg quality criteria, fatty acid composition of egg and some blood parameters. *Inter J Res Stu Biosci*. 10: 27-34.

تأثير اضافة مستويات مختلفة من بذور الكتان المطحون في بعض الصفات الانتاجية والدمية لثلاث خطوط من طائر السمان

شيرين ظاهر محمد وحورية صابر عبدالرزاق وجنان نجدت عبدالرحمن وسردار ياسين سرداري قسم الثروة الحيوانية- كلية الزراعة- جامعة صلاح الدين- أربيل- العراق

المستخلص

أجريت هذه الدراسة لمعرفة تأثير إضافة مستويين من بذور الكتان المطحون وتأثير اختلاف خطوط السمان المحلي بالإضافة الى التداخل بينهما (العليقة × الخطوط) على الاداء الانتاجي وبعض الصفات الدموية. تم توزيع 162 طائر من طيور السمان الى ثلاث خطوط حسب اللون: أبيض (W) ، أسود (B) ، والبني المصفر (Y) عشوائيا على ثلاث معاملات بواقع 3 مكررات/ معاملة/لون خط. غذيت الطيور على ثلاث انواع من العلائق :00 معاملة السيطرة ؛ اما المعاملتين T1 و T2 فقد اضيف لهما مطحون بذور بذور الكتان ألمكتون ألمكتر المعان المحلي بالإضافة الى التداخل بينهما (العليقة × الخطوط) على الاداء الانتاجي وبعض الصفات الدموية. تم توزيع 162 طائر من طيور السمان الى ثلاث خطوط حسب اللون: أبيض (W) ، أسود (B) ، والبني المصفر (Y) عشوائيا على ثلاث معاملات بواقع 3 مكررات/ معاملة/لون خط. غذيت الطيور على ثلاث أسود (B) ، والبني المصفر (Y) عشوائيا على ثلاث معاملات بواقع 3 مكررات/ معاملة/لون خط على ثلاث معاملات بواقع 3 مكررات/ معاملة/لون خط. غذيت الطيور على ثلاث الواع من العلائق المعاملة السيطرة ؛ اما المعاملتين T1 و 72 فقد اضيف لهما مطحون بذور الكتان ً بنسبة 3% و 6% على التوالى.

طول فترة التجربة أظهرت النتائج بان الاضافة للمعاملة الاولى T1 ادت الى تقليل كل من معدل استهلاك العلف وكفاءة التحويل الغذائي معنويا مقارنة بمعاملة السيطرة والمعاملة الثانية. المعاملة الاولى T1 رفعت معنويا كلا من نسبة انتاج البيض اليومي HDEP% وكتلة البيض، مقارنة بكلتا المعاملتين المقارنة و المعاملة الثانية T2. كانت نتائج صفات الدم المتمثلة بعدد كريات الدم الحمراء DRC وكتلة البيض، مقارنة بكلتا المعاملتين المقارنة و المعاملة الثانية T2. كانت نتائج صفات الدم المتمثلة بعدد كريات الدم الحمراء DRC وكتلة البيض، مقارنة بكلتا المعاملتين المقارنة و المعاملة الثانية T2. كانت نتائج صفات الدم المتمثلة بعدد كريات الدم الحمراء DRC و الهيماتوكريت HCT الاعلى معنويا في المعاملة الاولى T1 مقارنة بالمجموعتين الاخريتين. أوضحت نتائج المسافة الوراثية أن قيم التشابه الوراثي تتراوح بين 0.795 إلى 0.800. اعتمادا على BCC معارنة بالمجموعتين الاخريتين. أوضحت الخط الابيض كان الاكثر بعدا من الخطين الاخرين وراثيا. الاختلافات الجينية تشير إلى الموارد الوراثية الجيدة في خطوط السمان الحلي . تعتبر هذه الدراسة واحدة من الاحرين وراثيا. الاختلافات الجينية تشير إلى الموارد الوراثية الجيدة في خطوط السمان المحلي. تعتبر هذه الدراسة واحدة من الدراسات القليلة عن تحديد أثر التفاعل بين النظام الغذائي والخط في المحلي في إقليم المحلي. كردستان العراق، كما أنها تمثل أول دراسة في محافظة أربيل تعتمد على استخدام PC محمل وايجابي على الأداء الانتاجي والصوي في المحان المحلي في إقليم المحلي. بشكل عام، نستنج ونوصي باستخدام مطحون بذور الكتان بنسبة 3% لما له من تأثير مرض وايجابي على الأداء الانتاجي والصحي في مختلف خطوط السمان المحلي. بشكل عام، نستنج ونوصي باستخدام مطحون بذور الكتان بنسبة 3% لما له من تأثير مرض وايجابي على الأداء الانتاجي والصدي في مختلف خلوط السان المحلي.

الكلمات المفتاحية: بذور الكتان، الاداء الانتاجي، الصفات الدمية،RAPD - PCR ، السمان.